

Faulk, Camilla

From: SWhite8893@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2008 8:21 PM
To: Faulk, Camilla
Subject: Proposed Amendments to GR 14

Dear Ms. Faulk,

I am vehemently opposed to this amendment and believe it is a violation of due process.

As a real estate practitioner, it is occasionally necessary for me to attach documents to pleadings that are larger than 8 1/2 by 11. While I have the capacity to reduce the size of documents, it is occasionally impossible to do so without, effectively, destroying the document. This is often true with surveys and plats. If you reduce them down, they become unreadable. Also, these documents are drafted to a certain scale which is sometimes very important. If you reduce the document, it is no longer to the specified scale. Also, occasionally I have aerial photographs which are produced by DOT that are larger and I cannot reduce them as they are certified documents and are produced to a certain scale, and would also lose clarity if copied in any fashion. This would also hold true for any certified document that was in 8 1/2 x 14 format. In addition, occasionally such a document is done in such small type that reducing it down makes it unreadable.

I have to say I find it remarkable that the storage concerns of clerks is given paramount concern over the ability of litigants to present their case to the court. It seems to me that a process could be devised that would allow the submission of nonstandard documents in such a format that they could be returned after a case is concluded just as exhibits are. Until such a proposal is made, this amendment should be tabled.

Thankyou for your consideration.

Stephen Whitehouse

Planning your summer road trip? Check out AOL Travel Guides.
(<http://travel.aol.com/travel-guide/united-states?ncid=aoltrv00030000000016>)